

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



'اس

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/296,120	04/21/1999	THOMAS J. REDDIN	03628-0400	7995	
29052	7590 06/14/2002				
SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP			EXAMINER		
999 PEACHT ATLANTA, C	REE STREET, N.E. GA 30309	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		JANVIER, JEAN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	-					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Advisory Action	09/296,120	REDDIN ET AL.	1			
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jean D Janvier	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of the control of the	ation. A proper reply to a	ıed			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF.	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MP R 1 136(a) and the appropriate e	EP			
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	unt of the fee. The appropriate e originally set in the final Office ad ling date of the final rejection, even	extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amend	ment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place	the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	,			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-57 and 59-66</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappi	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		حــا			
10. Other:		ERIC W. STAME	BER			
		SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	EXAMINE ER 3600			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						





Continuation of 2. NOTE: They generally raise new arguments regarding 112 rejection and 102(b) rejection and repeat arguments that the Examiner already answered during the last office action.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request generally raises new arguments and repeat old arguments already answered by the Examiner .